IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARGARET PALCKO **CIVIL ACTION**

v.

AIRBORNE EXPRESS, INC. NO. 02-2990

ORDER

AND NOW, this day of May, 2003, plaintiff's motion to compel is DENIED without prejudice to its renewal, if appropriate, after disposition of the pending appeal by the Court of Appeals. For the benefit of plaintiff's counsel I note that his motion did not attach a supporting brief as required by Local Rule 7.1(c). Failure to conform to the Rule is not, however, a basis for my disposition of this motion.

> THOMAS N. O'NEILL, JR., J.